

BAHONA COLLEGE



Teachers Feedback Analysis Report 2018-19

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL

BAHONA COLLEGE

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "R. K. Singh", written over a rectangular stamp.

Principal
Bahona College



Introduction:

Bahona College, Jorhat, being unique from its very inception and foundation, has emerged as a benchmark of excellence and innovation for the world of education. With quality sustenance as its focus, the IQAC of the Bahona college has developed the feedback mechanism commencing with obtaining feedback from the teacher through a structured rating based feedback form. The college draws feedback from teachers for continuous improvement in institutional facilities and enrichment. For the session 2018-19, the analysis of teachers' feedback is presented as under:


A. Feedback on Administrative Mechanism:

Prospectus of the college

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 27.38% | 58.78% | 13.84% | 0% | 0% |


FEEDBACK ON PROSPECTUS OF THE COLLEGE

■ A= Very good ■ B=Good ■ C= Average ■ D= Poor ■ E= Very poor



Admission Procedure


| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 28.73% | 52.66% | 18.61% | 0% | 0% |



45

Cooperation of the office staff


| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 36.25% | 48.26% | 15.49% | 0% | 0% |



Cooperation of the library staff


| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 48.82% | 41.18% | 10.00% | 0% | 0% |

[Handwritten signature]



Availability of class room


| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 17.73% | 37.25% | 41.18% | 8% | 0% |






Necessary amenities in the class room

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 5.88% | 31.37% | 49.02% | 13.73% | 0% |



Provisions of conference hall


| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 21.57% | 50.98% | 27.45% | 0% | 0% |



Handwritten signature in blue ink.


Departmental Cooperation

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 52.14% | 40.02% | 7.84% | 0% | 0% |



Administration Cooperation in Observations of different Programmes


| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 34.0% | 53.97% | 12.03% | 0% | 0% |



Shree


Administration Cooperation in Celebration of annual college week

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 23.53% | 50.98% | 25.49% | 0% | 0% |



Administration Cooperation in Observation of college Foundation Day


| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 39.22% | 50.98% | 9.80% | 0% | 0% |



Signature


Cooperation in printing Magazine of the College

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 24.49% | 35.32% | 29.39% | 10.80% | 0.00% |



Service of the Student's Union Body


| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 28.45% | 51.94% | 19.61% | 0% | 0% |






Sports Care

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 11.76% | 43.14% | 39.22% | 5.88% | 0.00% |



Academic care


| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 28.55% | 49.88% | 21.57% | 0% | 0% |



[Handwritten signature]


Sports Care

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 11.76% | 43.14% | 39.22% | 5.88% | 0.00% |



Academic care


| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 28.55% | 49.88% | 21.57% | 0% | 0% |



[Handwritten signature]


Health Care

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 11.76% | 35.29% | 31.37% | 19.61% | 1.96% |



Student involvement in different Programme


| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 18.51% | 44.00% | 29.65% | 7.84% | 0.00% |






Extracurricular activities (NCC, NSS etc)

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 29.31% | 53.90% | 16.79% | 0% | 0% |



Co-Curricular activities (different events of sports, culture & music)


| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 22.57% | 46.06% | 24.49% | 4.92% | 1.96% |



[Handwritten signature]


Of campus activities (such as flood relieve, educational support etc)

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 25.49% | 56.86% | 15.69% | 1.96% | 0.00% |



Quality management


| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 10.90% | 51.84% | 35.26% | 1.99% | 0.00% |



[Handwritten signature]

Cooperation of Administration

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 25.40% | 54.99% | 19.61% | 0% | 0% |



The Teachers’ response on administrative mechanism was collected and analyzed.

Majority (45.73%) of the teachers think that the administrative mechanism of the college is always good.

25.18% of the teachers think that the administrative mechanism of the college is always very good.

25.01% of the teachers think that the administrative mechanism of the college is average.

3.92% of the teachers think that the administrative mechanism of the college is poor.


0.16% of the teachers are not satisfied about the administrative mechanism of the college and give his/her response a very poor.

Overall, most of the teachers are satisfied with the administrative mechanism of the college.

B. Feedback on Campus Facilities


Building Setting

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 6.56% | 47.15% | 35.19% | 7.41% | 3.70% |



Approach roads


| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 22.27% | 45.20% | 32.53% | 0% | 0% |



Signature


Greenery

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 42.59% | 48.15% | 9.26% | 0% | 0% |




Car shade and Bike stand

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 24.00% | 40.81% | 33.35% | 1.83% | 0.00% |




Cleanliness

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 9.26% | 38.89% | 35.19% | 16.67% | 0.00% |



Garbage management


| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 9.43% | 32.08% | 45.28% | 13.21% | 0.00% |



Handwritten signature


Drainage

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 9.43% | 47.17% | 33.96% | 9.43% | 0.00% |



Rain water harvesting

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 5.66% | 6.42% | 41.51% | 20.75% | 25.66% |



25

The Teachers' feedback on campus facilities (campus) of the college was collected and analyzed.

Majority (50.61%) of the teachers have given his/her feedback on campus facilities of the college is good.

32.86% of the teachers have given his/her feedback on campus facilities of the college is average.

14.57% of the teachers have given his/her feedback on campus facilities of the college is very good.

7.33% of the teachers have given his/her feedback on campus facilities of the college is poor.


0.85% of the teachers have given his/her feedback on campus facilities of the college is very poor.

Overall, most of the teachers are satisfied with the campus facilities of the college.

Canteen:

Sitting arrangement

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 17.98% | 51.83% | 28.30% | 1.89% | 0.00% |




[Handwritten signature]



Canteen Food

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 9.43% | 44.28% | 40.62% | 5.66% | 0.00% |



The Teachers' feedback on campus facilities (Canteen) of the college was collected and analyzed.

Majority (45.91%) of the teachers have given his/her feedback on campus facilities (canteen) of the college is good.

35.85% of the teachers have given his/her feedback on campus facilities (canteen) of the college is average.

11.95% of the teachers have given his/her feedback on campus facilities (canteen) of the college is very good.


6.29% of the teachers have given his/her feedback on campus facilities (canteen) of the college is poor.

Overall, most of the teachers are satisfied with the campus facilities (canteen) of the college.

Sanitary Provisions:


Quality

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 5.66% | 24.53% | 33.96% | 24.53% | 11.32% |



Water connection

| A= Very good | B=Good | C= Average | D= Poor | E= Very poor |
|--------------|--------|------------|---------|--------------|
| 6.66% | 38.62% | 35.85% | 18.87% | 0.00% |



[Handwritten signature]



The Teachers' feedback on campus facilities (Sanitary provision) of the college was collected and analyzed. Majority (35.85%) of the teachers have given his/her feedback on campus facilities (Sanitary provision) of the college is average. 30.82% of the teachers have given his/her feedback on campus facilities (Sanitary provision) of the college is good. 5.66% of the teachers have given his/her feedback on campus facilities (Sanitary provision) of the college is very good. 9.50% of the teachers have given his/her feedback on campus facilities (Sanitary provision) of the college is poor. 8.18% of the teachers have given his/her feedback on campus facilities (Sanitary provision) of the college is very poor. Overall, most of the teachers are satisfied with the campus facilities (Sanitary provision) of the college. But some of the teachers are not satisfied with the campus facilities (Sanitary provision) of the college. Therefore, some modification will be needed the sanitary provision of the college.

Conclusion:

This feedback analysis report found that college has maintained a good environment for the teaching staff. However, there are few areas for improvement. Specially, college need to upgrade the sanitary provisions. There is a need for renovation of classrooms. There is a scope for upgrading the healthcare facilities also. This report recommends for updating the healthcare infrastructure, classrooms and sanitary provisions of the college.

Co-ordinator
IQAC
Bahona College, Jorhat
Pin- 785101